

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMM United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO

APPLICATION NO. 10/707,334

FILING DATE

12/05/2003

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Soren Bystedt

00173.0047.PCUS00

1333

28694

7590

TRACY W. DRUCE, ESQ.

1496 EVANS FARM DR

MCLEAN, VA 22101

07/06/2004

EXAMINER

KAMEN, NOAH P

PAPER NUMBER

3747

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/707,334	BYSTEDT ET AL.	Ω
	Examiner	Art Unit	MA
	Noah Kamen	3747	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apportage Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commur D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		rits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 4-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2,3 and 13-15 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11).	epted or b) objected to by the formation of the formation of the learning of the drawing (s) is objected to by the formation of the drawing (s) is objected if the drawing (s) is objected to by the formation of the drawing (s) is objected to by the formation of the drawing (s) is objected to by the formation of	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical series of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical series of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical series of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stag	ge
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/5/03,4/29/04 S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	•)

Application/Control Number: 10/707,334

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suenaga et al (JP 56-38516). Figure 2 shows a compressed air line 5 from a compressor 4 being cooled by a fan 13 in response to a temperature sensor 18.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harden, III et al (5386873) in view of Suenaga et al (JP 56-38516).

Harden et al disclose a compressor system comprising intercoolers 42, 45, a cooling fan 131, various temperature sensors RT1-RT5, and a pressure sensors PT1-PT5. The sensors are connected to a control unit (figure 7). However, there is no explicit teaching of how the compressor operates and that the fan is temperature controlled. Suenaga et al show a compressed air line 5 from a compressor 4 being cooled by a fan 13 in response to a temperature sensor 18. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to operate the fan of Harden et al to be responsive to temperature sensors of the compressed air so as to

Application/Control Number: 10/707,334

Art Unit: 3747

prevent overheating. In regard to claim 4, the recited arrangement of activating and deactivating a compressor based on demand (read pressure in tank 46) is standard operating procedure.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harden, III et al (5386873) in view of Suenaga et al (JP 56-38516) as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Foege (6068447). Foege discloses (col.3, first paragraph) the recited operation of activating and deactivating the compressor according to a low pressure and high pressure thresholds for optimum performance and to minimize cycling; therefore, to do likewise in Harden et al would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 3, and 13-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Noah Kamen whose telephone number is 703 308 1945. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on 703-308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3747

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866₇217-9197 (toll-free).

Noah Kamen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3747

nk